

HOMOGENIZED MODELS FOR A SHORT-TIME FILTRATION AND FOR ACOUSTIC WAVES PROPAGATION IN A POROUS MEDIA

ANVARBEK MEIRMANOV*

Abstract. We consider a linear system of differential equations describing a joint motion of elastic porous body and fluid occupying porous space. The rigorous justification, under various conditions imposed on physical parameters, is fulfilled for homogenization procedures as the dimensionless size of the pores tends to zero, while the porous body is geometrically periodic and a characteristic time of processes is small enough. Such kind of models may describe, for example, hydraulic fracturing or acoustic or seismic waves propagation. As the results, we derive different types of homogenized equations involving non-isotropic Stokes system for fluid velocity coupled with acoustic equations for the solid component or different types of acoustic equations, depending on ratios between physical parameters. The proofs are based on Nguetseng's two-scale convergence method of homogenization in periodic structures.

Key words. Stokes equations, Lamé's equations, wave equation, hydraulic fracturing, two-scale convergence, homogenization of periodic structures

AMS subject classifications. 35M20, 74F10, 76S05

1. Introduction. The paper addresses the problem of a joint motion of a deformable solid (*elastic skeleton*), perforated by system of channels or pores (*porous space*) and a fluid, occupying porous space. In dimensionless variables (without primes)

$$\mathbf{x}' = L\mathbf{x}, \quad t' = \tau t, \quad \mathbf{w}' = L\mathbf{w}, \quad \rho'_s = \rho_0 \rho_s, \quad \rho'_f = \rho_0 \rho_f, \quad \mathbf{F}' = g\mathbf{F},$$

differential equations of the problem in a domain $\Omega \in \mathbf{R}^3$ for the dimensionless displacement vector $\mathbf{w}$ of the continuum medium have a form:

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha_\tau \bar{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial t^2} = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{P} + \bar{\rho} \mathbf{F},$$

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{P} = \bar{\chi} \alpha_\mu D\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t}\right) + (1 - \bar{\chi}) \alpha_\lambda D(x, \mathbf{w}) - (q + \pi) I,$$

$$(1.3) \quad q = p + \frac{\alpha_\nu}{\alpha_p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t},$$

$$(1.4) \quad p + \bar{\chi} \alpha_p \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \pi + (1 - \bar{\chi}) \alpha_\eta \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0.$$

The problem is endowed with homogeneous initial and boundary conditions

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{w}|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

$$(1.7) \quad \mathbf{w} = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S = \partial\Omega, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Here and further we use notations

$$D(x, \mathbf{u}) = (1/2) \left(\nabla_x \mathbf{u} + (\nabla_x \mathbf{u})^T \right), \quad \bar{\rho} = \bar{\chi} \rho_f + (1 - \bar{\chi}) \rho_s.$$

*Math. Dept., Belgorod State University, ul. Pobedi 85, 308015 Belgorod, Russia (meirmanov@bsu.edu.ru).

In this model the characteristic function of the porous space $\bar{\chi}(\mathbf{x})$ and a dimensionless vector $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of distributed mass forces are known functions.

Dimensionless constants α_i ($i = \tau, \nu, \dots$) are defined by the formulas

$$\alpha_\tau = \frac{L}{g\tau^2}, \quad \alpha_\nu = \frac{\nu}{\tau L g \rho_0}, \quad \alpha_\mu = \frac{2\mu}{\tau L g \rho_0},$$

$$\alpha_p = \frac{c^2 \rho_f}{Lg}, \quad \alpha_\eta = \frac{\eta}{Lg \rho_0}, \quad \alpha_\lambda = \frac{2\lambda}{Lg \rho_0},$$

where μ is the viscosity of fluid or gas, ν is the bulk viscosity of fluid or gas, λ and η are elastic Lamé's constants, c is a speed of sound in fluid, L is a characteristic size of the domain in consideration and τ is a characteristic time of the process.

For more details about Eqs.(1.1)– (1.5) see [7], [2], [10].

Our aim is to derive all possible limiting regimes (homogenized equations) for the problem (1.1)– (1.7) as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

To do that we accept the following constraints

ASSUMPTION 1.1. *domain $\Omega = (0, 1)^3$ is a periodic repetition of an elementary cell $Y^\varepsilon = \varepsilon Y$, where $Y = (0, 1)^3$ and quantity $1/\varepsilon$ is integer, so that Ω always contains an integer number of elementary cells Y_i^ε . Let Y_s be a "solid part" of Y , and the "liquid part" Y_f – is its open complement. We denote as $\gamma = \partial Y_f \cap \partial Y_s$ and γ is C^1 -surface. A porous space Ω_f^ε is the periodic repetition of the elementary cell εY_f , and solid skeleton Ω_s^ε is the periodic repetition of the elementary cell εY_s . A boundary $\Gamma^\varepsilon = \partial \Omega_s^\varepsilon \cap \partial \Omega_f^\varepsilon$ is the periodic repetition in Ω of the boundary $\varepsilon \gamma$. **The "solid skeleton" Ω_s^ε and the "porous space" Ω_f^ε are connected domains.** In these assumptions*

$$\bar{\chi}(\mathbf{x}) = \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \chi(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon),$$

$$\bar{\rho} = \rho^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})\rho_f + (1 - \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))\rho_s.$$

Let ε be a characteristic size of pores l divided by the characteristic size L of the entire porous body:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{l}{L}.$$

Suppose that all dimensionless parameters depend on the small parameter ε and there exist limits (finite or infinite)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\mu(\varepsilon) = \mu_0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\lambda(\varepsilon) = \lambda_0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\tau(\varepsilon) = \tau_0,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\eta(\varepsilon) = \eta_0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_p(\varepsilon) = p_*, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \alpha_\nu(\varepsilon) = \nu_0,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{\alpha_\mu}{\varepsilon^2} = \mu_1, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} = \lambda_1.$$

The first research with the aim of finding limiting regimes in the case when the skeleton was assumed to be an absolutely rigid body was carried out by E. Sanchez-Palencia and L. Tartar. E. Sanchez-Palencia [10, Sec. 7.2] formally obtained Darcy's

law of filtration using the method of two-scale asymptotic expansions, and L. Tartar [10, Appendix] mathematically rigorously justified the homogenization procedure. Using the same method of two-scale expansions J. Keller and R. Burridge [2] derived formally the system of Biot's equations from the problem (1.1)–(1.7) in the case when the parameter α_μ was of order ε^2 , and the rest of the coefficients were fixed independent of ε . Under the same assumptions as in the article [2], the rigorous justification of Biot's model was given by G. Nguetseng [9] and later by Th. Clopeaut *et al.* [3]. The most general case of the problem (1.1)–(1.7) when

$$\tau_0, \mu_0, \lambda_0^{-1}, \nu_0, p_*^{-1}, \eta_0^{-1} < \infty$$

has been studied in [7].

All these authors have used Nguetseng's two-scale convergence method [8, 6].

In the present work by means of the same method we investigate the rest of all possible limiting regimes in the problem (1.1)–(1.7). Namely, if $\tau_0 = \infty$, which is a case of short-time processes, then re-normalizing the displacement vector by setting

$$\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \alpha_\tau \mathbf{w},$$

we reduce the problem to the case $\tau_0 = 1$ and $\mu_0 < \infty$. Here the only one case $\lambda_0 = 0$ needs an additional consideration.

Therefore we restrict ourself by the case, when

$$\nu_0, \mu_0 < \infty; \quad \lambda_0 = 0, \quad \tau_0 = 1, \quad 0 < p_*, \eta_0.$$

We show that in the case $\mu_0 > 0$ the homogenized equations are non-isotropic Stokes equations for fluid velocity coupled with acoustic equations for the solid component, or non-isotropic Stokes system for the one-velocity continuum (theorem 2.2). In the case $\mu_0 = 0$ the homogenized equations are different types of acoustic equations for two-velocity or one-velocity continuum (theorem 2.3).

2. Main results. As usual, equation (1.1) is understood in the sense of distributions. It involves the proper equation (1.1) in a usual sense in the domains Ω_f^ε and Ω_s^ε and the boundary conditions

$$(2.1) \quad [\mathbf{w}] = 0, \quad \mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma^\varepsilon, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad [\mathbf{P}] = 0, \quad \mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma^\varepsilon, \quad t \geq 0$$

on the boundary Γ^ε , where

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi](\mathbf{x}_0) &= \varphi_{(s)}(\mathbf{x}_0) - \varphi_{(f)}(\mathbf{x}_0), \\ \varphi_{(s)}(\mathbf{x}_0) &= \lim_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_s^\varepsilon}} \varphi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \varphi_{(f)}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \lim_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_f^\varepsilon}} \varphi(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

There are various equivalent in the sense of distributions forms of representation of equation (1.1) and boundary conditions (2.1)–(2.2). In what follows, it is convenient to write them in the form of the integral equalities.

We say that functions $(\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon, p^\varepsilon, q^\varepsilon, \pi^\varepsilon)$ are called a generalized solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.7), if they satisfy the regularity conditions

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon, D(x, \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon), \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon, q^\varepsilon, p^\varepsilon, \frac{\partial p^\varepsilon}{\partial t}, \pi^\varepsilon \in L^2(\Omega_T)$$

in the domain $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$, boundary conditions (1.7) in the trace sense, equations (1.3)– (1.5) a.e. in Ω_T and integral identity

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\Omega_T} \left(\alpha_\tau \rho^\varepsilon \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial t^2} - \chi^\varepsilon \alpha_\mu D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) : D(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial t}) + \right. \\ \left. \{ (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \alpha_\lambda D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) - (q^\varepsilon + \pi^\varepsilon) I \} : D(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \right) d\mathbf{x} dt = 0 \quad \Bigg\}$$

for all smooth vector-functions $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}|_{\partial\Omega} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}|_{t=T} = \partial\boldsymbol{\varphi}/\partial t|_{t=T} = 0$.

In (2.4) by $A : B$ we denote the convolution (or, equivalently, the inner tensor product) of two second-rank tensors along the both indexes, i.e., $A : B = \text{tr}(B^* \circ A) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 A_{ij} B_{ji}$.

In what follows all parameters may take all permitted values. If, for example, $\eta_0^{-1} = 0$, then all terms in final equations containing this parameter disappear.

The following theorems 2.1–2.3 are the main results of the paper.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{F}$, $\partial\mathbf{F}/\partial t$ and $\partial^2\mathbf{F}/\partial t^2$ are bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ on the arbitrary time interval $[0, T]$ there exists a unique generalized solution of the problem (1.1)– (1.7) and*

$$(2.5) \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon}{\partial t^2}(t) \right\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C_0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \sqrt{\alpha_\mu \chi^\varepsilon} |\nabla_x \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon}{\partial t}(t)| + (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} |\nabla_x \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon}{\partial t}(t)| \right\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C_0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|q^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_T} + \|p^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_T} + \frac{\alpha_\nu}{\alpha_p} \left\| \frac{\partial p^\varepsilon}{\partial t} \right\|_{2,\Omega_T} \leq C_0$$

where C_0 does not depend on the small parameter ε .

THEOREM 2.2. *Assume that the hypotheses in theorem 2.1 hold, and $\mu_0 > 0$. Then functions $\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t$ admit an extension $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon$ from $\Omega_{f,T}^\varepsilon = \Omega_f^\varepsilon \times (0, T)$ into Ω_T such that the sequence $\{\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\}$ converges strongly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ and weakly in $L^2((0, T); W_2^1(\Omega))$ to the function $\mathbf{v}$. At the same time, sequences $\{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$, $\{(1 - \chi)\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$, $\{p^\varepsilon\}$, $\{q^\varepsilon\}$, and $\{\pi^\varepsilon\}$ converge weakly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ to $\mathbf{w}$, $\mathbf{w}^s$, p , q , and π , respectively.*

I) *If $\lambda_1 = \infty$, then $\partial \mathbf{w}^s / \partial t = (1 - m)\mathbf{v} = (1 - m)\partial \mathbf{w} / \partial t$ and weak and strong limits q , p , π and $\mathbf{v}$ satisfy in Ω_T the initial-boundary value problem*

$$(2.8) \quad \hat{\rho} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \left. \begin{aligned} & \text{div}_x \{ \mu_0 A_0^f : D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) + B_0^f \pi + B_1^f \text{div}_x \mathbf{v} + \\ & \int_0^t B_2^f(t - \tau) \text{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau \} - \nabla(q + \pi) + \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F}, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(2.9) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} & p_*^{-1} \partial p / \partial t + C_0^f : D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) + a_0^f \pi + (a_1^f + m) \text{div}_x \mathbf{v} \\ & + \int_0^t a_2^f(t - \tau) \text{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau = 0, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(2.10) \quad q = p + \frac{\nu_0}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{1}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} + \text{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0,$$

where $\hat{\rho} = m\rho_f + (1 - m)\rho_s$, $m = \int_Y \chi dy$ and the symmetric strictly positively defined constant fourth-rank tensor A_0^f , matrices C_0^f , B_0^f , B_1^f and $B_2^f(t)$ and scalars a_0^f , a_1^f and $a_2^f(t)$ are defined below by formulas (5.29), (5.31) - (5.32).

Differential equations (2.8) are endowed with homogeneous initial and boundary conditions

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S, \quad t > 0.$$

II) If $\lambda_1 < \infty$, then weak and strong limits $\mathbf{w}^s$, q , p , π and $\mathbf{v}$ satisfy in Ω_T the initial-boundary value problem, which consists of Stokes like system

$$(2.12) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \rho_f m \partial \mathbf{v} / \partial t + \rho_s \partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s / \partial t^2 + \nabla(q + \pi) - \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F} &= \operatorname{div}_x \{ B_0^f \pi + \\ \mu_0 A_0^f : D(x, \mathbf{v}) + B_1^f \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} + \int_0^t B_2^f(t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau \}, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(2.13) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} p_*^{-1} \partial p / \partial t + C_0^f : D(x, \mathbf{v}) + a_0^f \pi + (a_1^f + m) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} \\ + \int_0^t a_2^f(t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau = 0, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(2.14) \quad q = p + \frac{\nu_0}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t},$$

for the liquid component coupled with a continuity equation

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{1}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_x \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t} + m \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0,$$

the relation

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t} = (1 - m) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \int_0^t B_1^s(t - \tau) \cdot \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{1 - m} \nabla_x \pi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \rho_s \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \rho_s \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 > 0$, or the balance of momentum equation in the form

$$(2.17) \quad \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t^2} = \rho_s B_2^s \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + ((1 - m)I - B_2^s) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{1 - m} \nabla_x \pi + \rho_s \mathbf{F} \right)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 = 0$ for the solid component. The problem is supplemented by boundary and initial conditions (2.11) for the velocity $\mathbf{v}$ of the liquid component and by the homogeneous initial conditions and the boundary condition

$$(2.18) \quad \mathbf{w}^s(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in S, \quad t > 0,$$

for the displacement $\mathbf{w}^s$ of the solid component. In Eqs. (2.16)–(2.18) $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ is the unit normal vector to S at a point $\mathbf{x} \in S$, and matrices $B_1^s(t)$ and B_2^s are given below by Eqs. (5.39) and (5.41), where the matrix $((1 - m)I - B_2^s)$ is symmetric and positively definite.

THEOREM 2.3. Assume that the hypotheses in Theorem 2.1 hold, and

$$\mu_0 = 0; \quad p_*, \eta_0 < \infty.$$

Then there exist functions $\mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon$, $\mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; W_2^1(\Omega))$ such that

$$\mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon = \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \text{ in } \Omega_f^\varepsilon \times (0, T), \quad \mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon = \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \text{ in } \Omega_s^\varepsilon \times (0, T)$$

and sequences $\{p^\varepsilon\}$, $\{q^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\pi^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\chi^\varepsilon \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon\}$ converge weakly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ to functions p , q , π , $\mathbf{w}$, $\mathbf{w}^f$, $\mathbf{w}_f$ and $\mathbf{w}_s$ respectively as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

I) If $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 = \infty$, then $\mathbf{w}_f = \mathbf{w}_s = \mathbf{w}$ and functions $\mathbf{w}$, p , q and π satisfy in Ω_T the system of acoustic equations

$$(2.19) \quad \hat{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{(1-m)} \nabla_x \pi + \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F},$$

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{1}{p_*} p + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \pi + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0,$$

$$(2.21) \quad q = p + \frac{\nu_0}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{1}{m} q = \frac{1}{1-m} \pi,$$

homogeneous initial conditions

$$(2.22) \quad \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

and homogeneous boundary condition

$$(2.23) \quad \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S, t > 0.$$

II) If $\mu_1 = \infty$ and $\lambda_1 < \infty$, then functions $\mathbf{w}_f = \mathbf{w}$, $\mathbf{w}^s$, p , q and π satisfy in Ω_T the system of acoustic equations, which consist of the state equations (2.21) and balance of momentum equation

$$(2.24) \quad \rho_f m \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_f}{\partial t^2} + \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{(1-m)} \nabla_x \pi + \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F},$$

for the liquid component, continuity equation

$$(2.25) \quad \frac{1}{p_*} p + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \pi + m \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_f + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^s = 0,$$

and the relation

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t} = (1-m) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_f}{\partial t} + \int_0^t B_1^s(t-\tau) \cdot \mathbf{z}^s(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$\mathbf{z}^s(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{1-m} \nabla_x \pi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \rho_s \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_f}{\partial t^2}(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 > 0$, or the balance of momentum equation in the form

$$(2.27) \quad \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t^2} = \rho_s B_2^s \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_f}{\partial t^2} + ((1-m)I - B_2^s) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{1-m} \nabla_x \pi + \rho_s \mathbf{F}\right)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 = 0$ for the solid component. The problem (2.21), (2.24)–(2.27) is supplemented by homogeneous initial conditions (2.22) for the displacements in the liquid and the solid components and homogeneous boundary condition (2.23) for the displacements $\mathbf{w} = m\mathbf{w}_f + \mathbf{w}^s$.

In Eqs.(2.26)–(2.27) matrices $B_1^s(t)$ and B_2^s are the same as in theorem 2.2.

III) If $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $\lambda_1 = \infty$, then functions $\mathbf{w}^f$, $\mathbf{w}_s = \mathbf{w}$, p , q and π satisfy in Ω_T the system of acoustic equations, which consist of the state equations (2.21) and the balance of momentum equation

$$(2.28) \quad \rho_f \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^f}{\partial t^2} + \rho_s(1-m) \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_s}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{(1-m)} \nabla_x \pi + \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F},$$

for the solid component, the continuity equation

$$(2.29) \quad \frac{1}{p_*} p + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \pi + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^f + (1-m) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_s = 0,$$

and the relation

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^f}{\partial t} = m \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_s}{\partial t} + \int_0^t B_1^f(t-\tau) \cdot \mathbf{z}^f(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$\mathbf{z}^f(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla_x q(\mathbf{x}, t) + \rho_f \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \rho_f \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_s}{\partial t^2}(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 > 0$, or the balance of momentum equation in the form

$$(2.31) \quad \rho_f \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^f}{\partial t^2} = \rho_f B_2^f \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_s}{\partial t^2} + (mI - B_2^f) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{m} \nabla_x q + \rho_f \mathbf{F}\right)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 = 0$ for the liquid component. The problem (2.21), (2.28)–(2.31) is supplemented by homogeneous initial conditions (2.22) for the displacements in the liquid and the solid components and homogeneous boundary condition (2.23) for the displacements $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^f + (1-m)\mathbf{w}_s$.

In Eqs.(2.30)–(2.31) matrices $B_1^f(t)$ and B_2^f are given below by formulas (6.32)–(6.33), where the matrix $(mI - B_2^f)$ is symmetric and positively definite.

IV) If $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $\lambda_1 < \infty$, then functions $\mathbf{w}$, p , q and π satisfy in Ω_T the system of acoustic equations, which consist of the continuity and the state equations (2.20) and (2.21) and the relation

$$(2.32) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} = \int_0^t B^\pi(t-\tau) \cdot \nabla \pi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t),$$

where $B^\pi(t)$ and $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are given below by Eqs.(6.40) and (6.41).

The problem (2.20), (2.21), (2.32) is supplemented by homogeneous initial and boundary conditions (2.22) and (2.23).

3. Preliminaries.

3.1. Two-scale convergence. Justification of theorems 2.1–2.3 relies on systematic use of the method of two-scale convergence, which had been proposed by G. Nguetseng [8] and has been applied recently to a wide range of homogenization problems (see, for example, the survey [6]).

DEFINITION 3.1. A sequence $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\} \subset L^2(\Omega_T)$ is said to be two-scale convergent to a limit $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$ if and only if for any 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ function $\sigma = \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ the limiting relation

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\Omega_T} \varphi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon) d\mathbf{x} dt = \int_{\Omega_T} \int_Y \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) \sigma(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x} dt$$

holds.

Existence and main properties of weakly convergent sequences are established by the following fundamental theorem [8, 6]:

THEOREM 3.2. (*Ngjetseng's theorem*)

1. Any bounded in $L^2(Q)$ sequence contains a subsequence, two-scale convergent to some limit $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$.

2. Let sequences $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\varepsilon \nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon\}$ be uniformly bounded in $L^2(\Omega_T)$. Then there exist a 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ function $\varphi = \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ and a subsequence $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}$ such that $\varphi, \nabla_y \varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$, and φ^ε and $\varepsilon \nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon$ two-scale converge to φ and $\nabla_y \varphi$, respectively.

3. Let sequences $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon\}$ be bounded in $L^2(Q)$. Then there exist functions $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T)$ and $\psi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$ and a subsequence from $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}$ such that ψ is 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$, $\nabla_y \psi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$, and φ^ε and $\nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon$ two-scale converge to φ and $\nabla_x \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \nabla_y \psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, respectively.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let $\sigma \in L^2(Y)$ and $\sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$. Assume that a sequence $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\} \subset L^2(\Omega_T)$ two-scale converges to $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_T \times Y)$. Then the sequence $\sigma^\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon$ two-scale converges to $\sigma \varphi$.

3.2. An extension lemma. The typical difficulty in homogenization problems while passing to a limit in Model B^ε as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ arises because of the fact that the bounds on the gradient of displacement $\nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ may be distinct in liquid and rigid phases. The classical approach in overcoming this difficulty consists of constructing of extension to the whole Ω of the displacement field defined merely on Ω_s . The following lemma is valid due to the well-known results from [1, 4]. We formulate it in appropriate for us form:

LEMMA 3.4. Suppose that assumption 1.1 on geometry of periodic structure holds, $\psi^\varepsilon \in W_2^1(\Omega_s^\varepsilon)$ and $\psi^\varepsilon = 0$ on $S_s^\varepsilon = \partial\Omega_s^\varepsilon \cap \partial\Omega$ in the trace sense. Then there exists a function $\sigma^\varepsilon \in W_2^1(\Omega)$ such that its restriction on the sub-domain Ω_s^ε coincide with ψ^ε , i.e.,

$$(3.2) \quad (1 - \chi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))(\sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) - \psi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$

and, moreover, the estimate

$$(3.3) \quad \|\sigma^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C \|\psi^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_s^\varepsilon}, \quad \|\nabla_x \sigma^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C \|\nabla_x \psi^\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega_s^\varepsilon}$$

hold true, where the constant C depends only on geometry Y and does not depend on ε .

3.3. Friedrichs–Poincaré's inequality in periodic structure. The following lemma was proved by L. Tartar in [10, Appendix]. It specifies Friedrichs–Poincaré's inequality for ε -periodic structure.

LEMMA 3.5. Suppose that assumptions on the geometry of Ω_f^ε hold true. Then for any function $\varphi \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^1(\Omega_f^\varepsilon)$ the inequality

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} |\varphi|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq C \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_f^\varepsilon} |\nabla_x \varphi|^2 d\mathbf{x}$$

holds true with some constant C , independent of ε .

3.4. Some notation. Further we denote

1)

$$\langle \Phi \rangle_Y = \int_Y \Phi dy, \quad \langle \Phi \rangle_{Y_f} = \int_Y \chi \Phi dy, \quad \langle \Phi \rangle_{Y_s} = \int_Y (1 - \chi) \Phi dy,$$

$$\langle \varphi \rangle_\Omega = \int_\Omega \varphi dx, \quad \langle \varphi \rangle_{\Omega_T} = \int_{\Omega_T} \varphi dx dt.$$

2) If $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are two vectors then the matrix $\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}$ is defined by the formula

$$(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c})$$

for any vector $\mathbf{c}$.

3) If B and C are two matrices, then $B \otimes C$ is a forth-rank tensor such that its convolution with any matrix A is defined by the formula

$$(B \otimes C) : A = B(C : A)$$

4) By I^{ij} we denote the 3×3 -matrix with just one non-vanishing entry, which is equal to one and stands in the i -th row and the j -th column.

5) We also introduce

$$J^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(I^{ij} + I^{ji}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_i),$$

where $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$ are the standard Cartesian basis vectors.

4. Proof of theorem 2.1. Estimates (2.5)-(2.6) follow from

$$(4.1) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} & \max_{0 < t < T} (\sqrt{\alpha_\eta} \|\operatorname{div}_x \partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t(t)\|_{2, \Omega_s^\varepsilon} + \sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} \|\nabla_x \partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t(t)\|_{2, \Omega_s^\varepsilon} \\ & + \sqrt{\alpha_\tau} \|\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t^2(t)\|_{2, \Omega} + \sqrt{\alpha_p} \|\operatorname{div}_x \partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t(t)\|_{2, \Omega_f^\varepsilon} \\ & + \sqrt{\alpha_\mu} \|\chi^\varepsilon \nabla_x \partial^2 \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t^2\|_{2, \Omega_T} + \sqrt{\alpha_\nu} \|\chi^\varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x \partial^2 \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t^2\|_{2, \Omega_T} \leq C_0 / \sqrt{\alpha_\tau}, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

where C_0 is independent of ε . Last estimates we obtain if we differentiate equation for $\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon$ with respect to time, multiply by $\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t^2$ and integrate by parts using continuity and state equations (1.3)–(1.5). The same estimates guarantee the existence and uniqueness of the generalized solution for the problem (1.1)–(1.7).

If $p_* + \eta_0 < \infty$, then estimate (2.7) for pressures follows from estimate (4.1) and continuity and state equations (1.3)–(1.5).

For the case $p_* + \eta_0 = \infty$ estimate (2.7) follows from integral identity (2.4) and estimates (4.1) as an estimate of the corresponding functional, if we re-normalized pressures, such that

$$\int_\Omega (q^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) + \pi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)) d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Indeed, integral identity (2.4) and estimates (4.1) imply

$$| \int_\Omega (q^\varepsilon + \pi^\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \psi d\mathbf{x} | \leq C \|\nabla \psi\|_{2, \Omega}.$$

Choosing now ψ such that $(q^\varepsilon + \pi^\varepsilon) = \operatorname{div}_x \psi$ we get the desired estimate for the sum of pressures $(q^\varepsilon + \pi^\varepsilon)$. Such a choice is always possible (see [5]), if we put

$$\psi = \nabla \varphi + \psi_0, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \psi_0 = 0, \quad \Delta \varphi = q^\varepsilon + \pi^\varepsilon, \quad \varphi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (\nabla \varphi + \psi_0)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Note that the re-normalization of the pressures $(q^\varepsilon + \pi^\varepsilon)$ transforms continuity equations (1.4)-(1.5) for pressures into

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_p} p^\varepsilon + \chi^\varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{m} \beta^\varepsilon \chi^\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_\eta} \pi^\varepsilon + (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{(1 - m)} \beta^\varepsilon (1 - \chi^\varepsilon),$$

where

$$\beta^\varepsilon = \langle \chi^\varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \rangle_\Omega.$$

In what follows we will use equations (4.2) and (4.3) only if $p_* + \eta_0 = \infty$.

Note that for the last case the basic integral identity (2.4) permits to bound only the sum $(q^\varepsilon + \pi^\varepsilon)$. But thanks to the property that the product of these two functions is equal to zero, it is enough to get bounds for each of these functions. The pressure p^ε is bounded from the state equation (1.3), if we substitute the term $(\alpha_\nu/\alpha_p)\partial p^\varepsilon/\partial t$ from the continuity equation 4.2 and use estimate (4.1).

5. Proof of theorem 2.2.

5.1. Weak and two-scale limits of sequences of displacement and pressures. On the strength of theorem 2.1, the sequences $\{p^\varepsilon\}$, $\{q^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\pi^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$ are uniformly in ε bounded in $L^2(\Omega_T)$. Hence there exist a subsequence of small parameters $\{\varepsilon > 0\}$ and functions p , q , π and $\mathbf{w}$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad p^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup p, \quad q^\varepsilon \rightarrow q, \quad \pi^\varepsilon \rightarrow \pi, \quad \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{w}$$

weakly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Moreover, due to lemma 3.4 there is a function $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; W_2^1(\Omega))$ such that $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon = \partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t$ in $\Omega_f \times (0, T)$, and the family $\{\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\}$ is uniformly in ε bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; W_2^1(\Omega))$. Therefore it is possible to extract a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon > 0\}$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; W_2^1(\Omega))$$

as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Note also, that

$$(5.3) \quad (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \alpha_\lambda D(x, \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0.$$

strongly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Relabeling if necessary, we assume that the sequences converge themselves.

On the strength of Nguetseng's theorem, there exist 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ functions $P(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $\Pi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ and $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ such that the sequences $\{p^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\pi^\varepsilon\}$, $\{q^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\nabla_x \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\}$ two-scale converge to $P(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $\Pi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ and $\nabla_x \mathbf{v} + \nabla_y \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, respectively.

Note that the sequence $\{\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$ weakly converges to $\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; W_2^1(\Omega))$. Last assertion follows from the Friedrichs–Poincaré's inequality for $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon$ in the ε -layer of the boundary S and from convergence of sequence $\{\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\}$ to $\mathbf{v}$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ and weakly in $L^2((0, T); W_2^1(\Omega))$.

5.2. Micro- and macroscopic equations I. We start this section with macro- and microscopic equations, connected with continuity equations.

LEMMA 5.1. *For all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ weak and two-scale limits of the sequences $\{p^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\pi^\varepsilon\}$, $\{q^\varepsilon\}$, $\{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$, and $\{\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\}$ satisfy the relations*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.4) \quad & \Pi = \pi(1 - \chi)/(1 - m); \\
 (5.5) \quad & q = p + \nu_0 p_*^{-1} \partial p / \partial t, \quad Q = P + \nu_0 p_*^{-1} \partial P / \partial t; \\
 (5.6) \quad & p_*^{-1} \partial p / \partial t + m \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} + \langle \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V} \rangle_{Y_f} = \partial \beta / \partial t; \\
 (5.7) \quad & p_*^{-1} \partial P / \partial t + \chi (\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}) = (\chi/m) \partial \beta / \partial t; \\
 (5.8) \quad & p/p_* + \pi/\eta_0 + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0; \\
 (5.9) \quad & \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S, t > 0; \\
 (5.10) \quad & \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{W} = 0; \\
 (5.11) \quad & \partial \mathbf{W} / \partial t = \chi \mathbf{v} + (1 - \chi) \partial \mathbf{W} / \partial t,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \langle \langle \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{U} \rangle_{Y_f} \rangle_\Omega$, if $p_* + \eta_0 = \infty$ and $\beta = 0$, if $p_* + \eta_0 < \infty$ and $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ is the unit normal vector to S at a point $\mathbf{x} \in S$.

Proof. In order to prove Eq.(5.4), into Eq.(2.4) insert a test function $\psi^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$, where $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ is an arbitrary 1-periodic and finite on Y_s function in $\mathbf{y}$. Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, we get

$$(5.12) \quad \nabla_y \Pi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_s.$$

Next, fulfilling the two-scale limiting passage in equality

$$\chi^\varepsilon \pi^\varepsilon = 0$$

we arrive at

$$\chi \Pi = 0$$

which along with Eqs.(5.12) justifies Eq. (5.4).

Eqs. (5.5)–(5.9) appear as the results of two-scale limiting passages in Eqs. (1.3), (4.2)–(4.3) with the proper test functions being involved. Thus, for example, Eqs. (5.8) and (5.9) arise, if we consider the sum of Eq.(4.2) and Eq.(4.3)

$$(5.13) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_p} p^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\alpha_\eta} \pi^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{m(1-m)} \beta^\varepsilon (\chi^\varepsilon - m),$$

multiply by an arbitrary function, independent of the “fast” variable $\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon$, and then pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. In order to prove Eq. (5.10), it is sufficient to consider the two-scale limiting relations in Eq. (5.13) as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ with the test functions $\varepsilon \psi(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon) h(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where ψ and h are arbitrary smooth test functions. In order to prove Eq. (5.11) it is sufficient to consider the two-scale limiting relations in

$$\chi^\varepsilon (\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t - \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon) = 0.$$

□

COROLLARY 5.2. *If $p_* + \eta_0 = \infty$, then weak limits p , π and q satisfy relations*

$$(5.14) \quad \langle p \rangle_\Omega = \langle \pi \rangle_\Omega = \langle q \rangle_\Omega = 0.$$

LEMMA 5.3. *For all $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega_T$ the relations*

$$(5.15) \quad \operatorname{div}_y \{ \mu_0 \chi (D(y, \mathbf{V}) + D(x, \mathbf{v})) - (Q + \frac{(1-\chi)}{(1-m)} \pi \cdot I) \} = 0,$$

holds true.

Proof. Substituting a test function of the form $\psi^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$, where $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ is an arbitrary 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ function vanishing on the boundary S , into integral identity (2.4), and passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, we arrive at Eq. (5.15). $\square$

LEMMA 5.4. *Let $\hat{\rho} = m\rho_f + (1-m)\rho_s$. Then functions $\mathbf{w}^s = \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_{Y_s}$, $\mathbf{v}$, q and π satisfy in Ω_T the system of macroscopic equations*

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_f m \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t^2} - \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F} = \\ \operatorname{div}_x \{ \mu_0 (mD(x, \mathbf{v}) + \langle D(y, \mathbf{V}) \rangle_{Y_f}) - (q + \pi) \cdot I \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Eqs. (5.16) arise as the limit of Eqs. (2.4) with test functions being finite in Ω_T and independent of ε . $\square$

5.3. Micro- and macroscopic equations II. In this section we derive macro- and microscopic equations for the solid component.

LEMMA 5.5. *If $\lambda_1 = \infty$, then the weak limits of $\{\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t\}$ coincide.*

Proof. Let $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ be an arbitrary function periodic in $\mathbf{y}$. The sequence $\{\beta^\varepsilon\}$, where

$$\beta^\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} \nabla \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon) dx,$$

is uniformly bounded in ε . Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \nabla \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon) dx = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\alpha_\lambda}} \beta^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, which is equivalent to

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_Y \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) \nabla_y \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) dx dy = 0,$$

or $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)$. $\square$

LEMMA 5.6. *Let $\lambda_1 < \infty$. Then the weak and two-scale limits π and $\mathbf{W}$ satisfy the microscopic relations*

$$(5.17) \quad \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}}{\partial t^2} = \lambda_1 \triangle_y \mathbf{W} - \nabla_y R - \frac{1}{1-m} \nabla_x \pi + \rho_s \mathbf{F}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_s,$$

$$(5.18) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma$$

in the case $\lambda_1 > 0$, and relations

$$(5.19) \quad \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}}{\partial t^2} = -\nabla_y R - \frac{1}{1-m} \nabla_x \pi + \rho_s \mathbf{F}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_s,$$

$$(5.20) \quad \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{v} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma$$

in the case $\lambda_1 = 0$.

In Eq. (5.20) $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit normal to γ .

Proof. Differential equations (5.17) and (5.19) follow as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ from integral equality (2.4) with the test function $\boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x\varepsilon^{-1}) \cdot h(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is solenoidal and finite in Y_s .

Boundary conditions (5.18) are the consequences of the two-scale convergence of $\{\alpha_\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_x \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$ to the function $\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_y \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$. On the strength of this convergence, the function $\nabla_y \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ is L^2 -integrable in Y . The boundary conditions (5.20) follow from Eqs. (5.10)-(5.11). $\square$

5.4. Homogenized equations I. Here we derive homogenized equations for the liquid component.

LEMMA 5.7. *If $\lambda_1 = \infty$ then $\partial \mathbf{w} / \partial t = \mathbf{v}$ and the weak limits $\mathbf{v}$, p , q , and π satisfy in Ω_T the initial-boundary value problem*

$$(5.21) \quad \hat{\rho} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \left. \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div}_x \{ \mu_0 A_0^f : D(x, \mathbf{v}) + B_0^f \pi + B_1^f \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} + \\ & \int_0^t B_2^f(t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau \} - \nabla(q + \pi) + \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F}, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(5.22) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} & p_*^{-1} \partial p / \partial t + C_0^f : D(x, \mathbf{v}) + a_0^f \pi + (a_1^f + m) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} \\ & + \int_0^t a_2^f(t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau = 0, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(5.23) \quad q = p + \frac{\nu_0}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{1}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0,$$

where the symmetric strictly positively defined constant fourth-rank tensor A_0^f , matrices C_0^f , B_0^f , B_1^f and $B_2^f(t)$ and scalars a_0^f , a_1^f and $a_2^f(t)$ are defined below by formulas (5.29), (5.31) - (5.32).

Differential equations (5.21) are endowed with homogeneous initial and boundary conditions

$$(5.24) \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. In the first place let us notice that $\mathbf{v} = \partial \mathbf{w} / \partial t$ due to lemma 5.5.

The homogenized equations (5.21) follow from the macroscopic equations (5.16), after we insert in them the expression

$$\mu_0 \langle D(y, \mathbf{V}) \rangle_{Y_f} = \mu_0 A_1^f : D(x, \mathbf{v}) + B_0^f \pi + B_1^f \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} + \int_0^t B_2^f(t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau.$$

In turn, this expression follows by virtue of solutions of Eq. (5.5) in the form

$$Q = P - \nu_0 \chi (\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}) + \nu_0 (\chi / m) \partial \beta / \partial t$$

and Eqs.(5.6) and (5.15) on the pattern cell Y_f . Indeed, setting

$$\mathbf{V} = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \mathbf{V}^{(ij)}(\mathbf{y}) D_{ij} + \mathbf{V}^{(0)}(\mathbf{y}) \pi + \mathbf{V}^{(1)}(\mathbf{y}) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}$$

$$+ \int_0^t \mathbf{V}^{(2)}(\mathbf{y}, t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$Q = \mu_0 \sum_{i,j=1}^3 Q^{(ij)}(\mathbf{y}) D_{ij} + Q^{(0)}(\mathbf{y}) \pi + Q^{(1)}(\mathbf{y}) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}$$

$$+ \int_0^t Q^{(2)}(\mathbf{y}, t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$P = \int_0^t P^{(2)}(\mathbf{y}, t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

where

$$D_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right),$$

we arrive at the following periodic-boundary value problems in Y :

$$(5.25) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_y \{ \chi(D(y, \mathbf{V}^{(ij)}) + J^{ij} - Q^{(ij)} \cdot I) \} &= 0, \\ \mu_0 Q^{(ij)} + \nu_0 \chi \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(ij)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } p_* < \infty, \\ \chi \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(ij)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } p_* = \infty; \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(5.26) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_y \{ \mu_0 \chi D(y, \mathbf{V}^{(0)}) - (Q^{(0)} + \frac{1-\chi}{1-m}) \cdot I \} &= 0, \\ Q^{(0)} + \nu_0 \chi \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(0)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } p_* < \infty, \\ \chi \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(0)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } p_* = \infty; \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(5.27) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_y \{ \mu_0 \chi D(y, \mathbf{V}^{(1)}) - Q^{(1)} \cdot I \} &= 0, \\ Q^{(1)} + \nu_0 \chi (\operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(1)} + 1) &= 0 \quad \text{for } p_* < \infty, \\ \chi (\operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(1)} + 1) &= 0 \quad \text{for } p_* = \infty; \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$\mathbf{V}^{(2)} = 0$, $Q^{(2)} = P^{(2)} = 0$ for $p_* = \infty$ and

$$(5.28) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_y \{ \mu_0 \chi D(y, \mathbf{V}^{(2)}) - Q^{(2)} \cdot I \} &= 0, \\ Q^{(2)} &= P^{(2)} - \nu_0 \chi \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(2)}, \\ 1/p_* \partial P^{(2)} / \partial t + \chi \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(2)} &= 0, \quad P^{(2)}(\mathbf{y}, 0) = p_* \end{aligned} \right\}$$

for $p_* < \infty$.

Note that for $p_* + \eta_0 = \infty$

$$\beta = \langle \langle \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V} \rangle_\Omega \rangle_{Y_f} = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \langle \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(ij)} \rangle_{Y_f} \langle D_{ij} \rangle_\Omega +$$

$$\langle \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(0)} \rangle_{Y_f} \langle \pi \rangle_\Omega + \langle \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(1)} \rangle_{Y_f} \langle \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} \rangle_\Omega = 0$$

due to homogeneous boundary conditions for $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and Eq. (5.14).

On the strength of the assumptions on the geometry of the pattern “liquid” cell Y_f , problems (5.25)–(5.27) have unique solution, up to an arbitrary constant vector. In order to discard the arbitrary constant vectors we demand

$$\langle \mathbf{V}^{(ij)} \rangle_{Y_f} = \langle \mathbf{V}^{(0)} \rangle_{Y_f} = \langle \mathbf{V}^{(1)} \rangle_{Y_f} = \langle \mathbf{V}^{(2)} \rangle_{Y_f} = 0.$$

Thus

$$(5.29) \quad A_0^f = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 J^{ij} \otimes J^{ij} + A_1^f, \quad A_1^f = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \langle D(y, \mathbf{V}^{(ij)}) \rangle_{Y_f} \otimes J^{ij}.$$

Symmetry of the tensor A_0^f follows from symmetry of the tensor A_1^f . And symmetry of the latter one follows from the equality

$$(5.30) \quad \langle D(y, \mathbf{V}^{(ij)}) \rangle_{Y_f} : J^{kl} = -\langle D(y, \mathbf{V}^{(ij)}) : D(y, \mathbf{V}^{(kl)}) \rangle_{Y_f} \\ - \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{p_*}\right) \frac{\nu_0}{\mu_0} \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(ij)} \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(kl)},$$

which appears by means of multiplication of Eq. (5.25) for $\mathbf{V}^{(ij)}$ by $\mathbf{V}^{(kl)}$ and by integration by parts using the continuity equation.

This equality also implies positive definiteness of the tensor A_0^f . Indeed, let ζ be an arbitrary symmetric matrix. Setting

$$\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \mathbf{V}^{(ij)} \zeta_{ij}$$

and taking into account Eq.(5.30) we get

$$\langle D(y, \mathbf{Z}) \rangle_{Y_f} : \zeta = -\langle D(y, \mathbf{Z}) : D(y, \mathbf{Z}) \rangle_{Y_f} - \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{p_*}\right) \frac{\nu_0}{\mu_0} (\operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{Z})^2,$$

This equality and the definition of the tensor A_0^f give

$$(A_0^f : \zeta) : \zeta = \langle (D(y, \mathbf{Z}) + \zeta) : (D(y, \mathbf{Z}) + \zeta) \rangle_{Y_s} + \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{p_*}\right) \frac{\nu_0}{\mu_0} (\operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{Z})^2.$$

Now the strict positive definiteness of the tensor A_0^f follows from the equality immediately above and the geometry of the elementary cell Y_f . Namely, suppose that $(A_0^f : \zeta) : \zeta = 0$ for some function ζ , such that $\zeta : \zeta = 1$. Then $(D(y, \mathbf{Z}) + \zeta) = 0$, which is possible iff $\mathbf{Z}$ is a linear function in $\mathbf{y}$. On the other hand, all linear periodic functions on Y_f are constant. Finally, the normalization condition $\langle \mathbf{V}^{(ij)} \rangle_{Y_f} = 0$ yields that $\mathbf{Z} = 0$. However, this is impossible because the functions $\mathbf{V}^{(ij)}$ are linearly independent.

Finally, Eqs. (5.22) and (5.23) for the pressures follow from Eqs. (5.5), (5.6), (5.8) and

$$\langle \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V} \rangle_{Y_f} = C_0^f : D(x, \mathbf{v}) + a_0^f \pi + a_1^f \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} + \int_0^t a_2^f(t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau$$

with

$$(5.31) \quad B_i^f = \mu_0 \langle D(y, \mathbf{V}^{(i)}) \rangle_{Y_f}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2,$$

$$(5.32) \quad C_0^f = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \langle \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(ij)} \rangle_{Y_f} J^{ij}, \quad a_i^f = \langle \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^{(i)} \rangle_{Y_f}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$

□

5.5. Homogenized equations II. We complete the proof of theorem 2.2 with homogenized equations for the solid component.

Let $\lambda_1 < \infty$. In the same manner as above, we verify that the limit $\mathbf{v}$ of the sequence $\{\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\}$ satisfies the initial-boundary value problem likes (5.21)–(5.24). The main difference here that, in general, the weak limit $\partial \mathbf{w} / \partial t$ of the sequence $\{\partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t\}$ differs from $\mathbf{v}$. More precisely, the following statement is true.

LEMMA 5.8. *If $\lambda_1 < \infty$ then the weak limits $\mathbf{v}$, $\mathbf{w}^s$, p , q , and π of the sequences $\{\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\}$, $\{(1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}$, $\{p^\varepsilon\}$, $\{q^\varepsilon\}$, and $\{\pi^\varepsilon\}$ satisfy the initial-boundary value problem in Ω_T , consisting of the balance of momentum equation*

$$(5.33) \quad \rho_f m \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t^2} + \nabla(q + \pi) - \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F} = \\ \operatorname{div}_x \{ \mu_0 A_0^f : D(x, \mathbf{v}) + B_0^f \pi + B_1^f \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} \} + \int_0^t B_2^f(t - \tau) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau \},$$

the continuity equation (5.22) and first state equation in (5.23) for the liquid component, where A_0^f , $B_0^f - B_2^f$ are the same as in (5.21), the continuity equation

$$(5.34) \quad \frac{1}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_x \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t} + m \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0,$$

the relation

$$(5.35) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t} = (1 - m) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \int_0^t B_1^s(t - \tau) \cdot \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{1 - m} \nabla_x \pi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \rho_s \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \rho_s \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 > 0$, or the balance of momentum equation in the form

$$(5.36) \quad \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t^2} = \rho_s B_2^s \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + ((1 - m)I - B_2^s) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{1 - m} \nabla_x \pi + \rho_s \mathbf{F} \right)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 = 0$ for the solid component. The problem is supplemented by boundary and initial conditions (5.24) for the velocity $\mathbf{v}$ of the liquid component and by homogeneous initial conditions and the boundary condition

$$(5.37) \quad \mathbf{w}^s(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in S, \quad t > 0,$$

for the displacement $\mathbf{w}^s$ of the solid component. In Eqs. (5.35)–(5.37) $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ is the unit normal vector to S at a point $\mathbf{x} \in S$, and matrices $B_1^s(t)$ and B_2^s are given below by Eqs. (5.39) and (5.41).

Proof. The boundary condition (5.37) follows from Eq.(5.9), equality

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t} + m\mathbf{v},$$

and homogeneous boundary condition for $\mathbf{v}$.

The same equality and Eq.(5.8) imply (5.34). The homogenized equations of balance of momentum (5.33) derives exactly as before. Therefore we omit the relevant proofs now and focus ourself only on derivation of homogenized equation of the balance of momentum for the solid displacements $\mathbf{w}^s$.

a) If $\lambda_1 > 0$, then the solution of the system of microscopic equations (5.10), (5.17), and (5.18), provided with the homogeneous initial data, is given by formula

$$\mathbf{W} = \int_0^t (\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) + \mathbf{B}_1^s(\mathbf{y}, t - \tau) \cdot \mathbf{z})(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau, \quad R = \int_0^t \mathbf{R}_f(\mathbf{y}, t - \tau) \cdot \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

in which

$$\mathbf{B}_1^s(\mathbf{y}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{W}^i(\mathbf{y}, t) \otimes \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{R}_f(\mathbf{y}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 R^i(\mathbf{y}, t) \mathbf{e}_i,$$

and the functions $\mathbf{W}^i(\mathbf{y}, t)$ and $R^i(\mathbf{y}, t)$ are defined by virtue of the periodic initial-boundary value problem

$$(5.38) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}^i}{\partial t^2} - \lambda_1 \Delta \mathbf{W}^i + \nabla R^i &= 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{W}^i = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_s, t > 0, \\ \mathbf{W}^i &= 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma, t > 0; \\ \mathbf{W}^i(\mathbf{y}, 0) &= 0, \quad \rho_s \partial \mathbf{W}^i / \partial t(\mathbf{y}, 0) = \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_s. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

In Eq. (5.38) $\mathbf{e}_i$ is the standard Cartesian basis vector.

Therefore

$$(5.39) \quad B_1^s(t) = \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_1^s}{\partial t} \right\rangle_{Y_s}(t).$$

Note, that equations (5.38) are understood in the sense of distributions and the function $B_1^s(t)$ has no time derivative at $t = 0$.

b) If $\lambda_1 = 0$ then in the process of solving the system (5.10), (5.19), and (5.20) we firstly find the pressure $R(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ by virtue of solving the Neumann problem for Laplace's equation in Y_s in the form

$$R(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 R_i(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x}, t),$$

where $R^i(\mathbf{y})$ is the solution of the problem

$$(5.40) \quad \Delta_y R_i = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_s; \quad \nabla_y R_i \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma.$$

Formula (5.36) appears as the result of homogenization of Eq.(5.19) and

$$(5.41) \quad B_2^s = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \nabla R_i(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{Y_s} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where the matrix $((1-m)I - B_2^s)$ is symmetric and positively definite. In fact, let $\tilde{R} = \sum_{i=1}^3 R_i \xi_i$ for any unit vector ξ . Then

$$(B \cdot \xi) \cdot \xi = \langle (\xi - \nabla \tilde{R})^2 \rangle_{Y_f} > 0$$

due to the same reasons as in lemma 5.7.

Note, that on the strength of the assumptions on the geometry of the pattern “solid” cell Y_s , problem (5.38) has unique solution and problem (5.40) has unique solution up to an arbitrary constant. $\square$

6. Proof of theorem 2.3.

6.1. Weak and two-scale limits of sequences of displacement and pressures. Let $\mu_0 = 0$. We again use Lemma 3.4 and conclude that there are functions $\mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon, \mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; W_2^1(\Omega))$ such that

$$\mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon = \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \text{ in } \Omega_f \times (0, T), \quad \mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon = \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \text{ in } \Omega_s \times (0, T).$$

On the strength of theorem 2.1, the sequences $\{p^\varepsilon\}, \{q^\varepsilon\}, \{\pi^\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon\}, \{\sqrt{\alpha_\mu} \nabla \mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\sqrt{\alpha_\lambda} \nabla \mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon\}$ are uniformly in ε bounded in $L^2(\Omega_T)$. Hence there exist a subsequence of small parameters $\{\varepsilon > 0\}$ and functions $p, q, \pi, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_f$ and $\mathbf{w}_s$ such that

$$(6.1) \quad p^\varepsilon \rightarrow p, \quad q^\varepsilon \rightarrow q, \quad \pi^\varepsilon \rightarrow \pi, \quad \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{w}, \quad \mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_f, \quad \mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_s$$

weakly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Note also, that

$$(6.2) \quad (1 - \chi^\varepsilon) \alpha_\lambda D(x, \mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0, \quad \chi^\varepsilon \alpha_\mu D(x, \mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

strongly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Relabeling if necessary, we assume that the sequences converge themselves.

On the strength of Nguetseng’s theorem, there exist 1-periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ functions $P(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}), \Pi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}), Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{W}_f(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ and $\mathbf{W}_s(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ such that the sequences $\{p^\varepsilon\}, \{\pi^\varepsilon\}, \{q^\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon\}$ two-scale converge to $P(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}), \Pi(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}), Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{W}_f(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ and $\mathbf{W}_s(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$, respectively.

Finally note, that if $\mu_1 = \infty$ ($\lambda_1 = \infty$), then due to Lemma 5.5 the sequence $\{\mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon\}$ ($\{\mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon\}$) converges strongly to $\mathbf{w}_f$ ($\mathbf{w}_s$) and $\mathbf{w}^f = \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_{Y_f} = m \mathbf{w}_f$ ($\mathbf{w}^s = \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_{Y_s} = (1-m) \mathbf{w}_s$).

6.2. Micro- and macroscopic equations. As before we start the proof of theorem with macro- and microscopic equations, connected with continuity equations.

LEMMA 6.1. *For all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ weak and two-scale limits of the sequences $\{p^\varepsilon\}, \{\pi^\varepsilon\}, \{q^\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{w}^\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{w}_f^\varepsilon\}$, and $\{\mathbf{w}_s^\varepsilon\}$ satisfy the relations*

$$(6.3) \quad Q = q\chi/m, \quad P = p\chi/m, \quad \Pi = \pi(1 - \chi)/(1 - m);$$

$$(6.4) \quad q/m = \pi/(1 - m), \quad q = p + \nu_0 p_*^{-1} \partial p / \partial t;$$

$$(6.5) \quad p/p_* + \pi/\eta_0 + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0;$$

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S, t > 0;$$

$$(6.7) \quad \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{W} = 0;$$

$$(6.8) \quad \mathbf{W} = \chi \mathbf{W}_f + (1 - \chi) \mathbf{W}_s.$$

Proof. The derivation of Eqs.(6.3)–(6.8) is the same as the derivation of Eqs.(5.4), (5.5), (5.8) and (5.10)–(5.11) in lemma 5.1. Thus, for example, the first relation in Eq.(6.4) follow from Eq.(6.3) and from the strong convergence of the sequence $\{(q^\varepsilon + \pi^\varepsilon) \text{ to } (q + \pi)\}$, which implies the equality $Q + \Pi = q + \pi$. $\square$

LEMMA 6.2. *For all $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega_T$ the relation*

$$(6.9) \quad \rho_f \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^f}{\partial t^2} + \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{(1-m)} \nabla_x \pi + \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F},$$

holds true.

Proof. Substituting a test function of the form $\boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ into integral identity (2.4), and passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, we arrive at Eq.(6.9). $\square$

LEMMA 6.3. *Let $\mu_1 = \infty$ and $\lambda_1 < \infty$. Then functions $\{\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{w}_f, \pi\}$ satisfy in Y_s the system of microscopic equations*

$$(6.10) \quad \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}}{\partial t^2} = \lambda_1 \triangle_y \mathbf{W} - \nabla_y R^s - \frac{1}{1-m} \nabla_x \pi + \rho_s \mathbf{F}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_s,$$

$$(6.11) \quad \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{w}_f, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma$$

in the case $\lambda_1 > 0$, and relations

$$(6.12) \quad \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}}{\partial t^2} = -\nabla_y R^s - \frac{1}{1-m} \nabla_x \pi + \rho_s \mathbf{F}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_s,$$

$$(6.13) \quad (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{w}_f) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma$$

in the case $\lambda_1 = 0$.

In Eq. (6.13) $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit normal to γ .

The proof of this lemma repeats the proof of lemma 5.6.

In the same way one can prove

LEMMA 6.4. *Let $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $\lambda_1 = \infty$. Then functions $\{\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{w}_s, q\}$ satisfy in Y_f the system of microscopic equations*

$$(6.14) \quad \rho_f \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}}{\partial t^2} = \mu_1 \triangle_y \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}}{\partial t} - \nabla_y R^f - \frac{1}{m} \nabla_x q + \rho_f \mathbf{F}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f,$$

$$(6.15) \quad \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{w}_s, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma$$

in the case $\mu_1 > 0$, and relations

$$(6.16) \quad \rho_f \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}}{\partial t^2} = -\nabla_y R^f - \frac{1}{m} \nabla_x q + \rho_f \mathbf{F}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f,$$

$$(6.17) \quad (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{w}_s) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma$$

in the case $\mu_1 = 0$.

LEMMA 6.5. *Let $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $\lambda_1 < \infty$ and $\tilde{\rho} = \rho_f \chi + \rho_s (1 - \chi)$. Then functions $\{\mathbf{W}, \pi\}$ satisfy in Y the system of microscopic equations*

$$(6.18) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &\tilde{\rho} \partial^2 \mathbf{W} / \partial t^2 + 1/(1-m) \nabla_x \pi - \tilde{\rho} \mathbf{F} = \\ &\operatorname{div}_y \{ \mu_1 \chi D(y, \partial \mathbf{W} / \partial t) + \lambda_1 (1 - \chi) D(y, \mathbf{W}) - R I \}. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

In the proof of the last lemma we additionally use Nguetseng's theorem, which states that the sequence $\{\varepsilon D(x, \partial \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon / \partial t)\}$ ($\{\varepsilon D(x, \mathbf{w}^\varepsilon)\}$) two-scale converges to $D(y, \partial \mathbf{W} / \partial t)$ ($D(y, \mathbf{W})$).

6.3. Homogenized equations.

Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2 imply

LEMMA 6.6. *Let $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 = \infty$, then $\mathbf{w}_f = \mathbf{w}_s = \mathbf{w}$ and functions $\mathbf{w}$, p , q and π satisfy in Ω_T the system of acoustic equations*

$$(6.19) \quad \hat{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{(1-m)} \nabla_x \pi + \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F},$$

$$(6.20) \quad \frac{1}{p_*} p + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \pi + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0,$$

$$(6.21) \quad q = p + \frac{\nu_0}{p_*} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{1}{m} q = \frac{1}{1-m} \pi,$$

homogeneous initial conditions

$$(6.22) \quad \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

and homogeneous boundary condition

$$(6.23) \quad \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S, t > 0.$$

LEMMA 6.7. *Let $\mu_1 = \infty$ and $\lambda_1 < \infty$. Then functions $\mathbf{w}_f$, $\mathbf{w}^s$, p , q and π satisfy in Ω_T the system of acoustic equations, which consist of the state equations (6.21), balance of momentum equation for the liquid component*

$$(6.24) \quad \rho_f m \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_f}{\partial t^2} + \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{(1-m)} \nabla_x \pi + \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F},$$

continuity equation

$$(6.25) \quad \frac{1}{p_*} p + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \pi + m \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_f + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^s = 0,$$

and the relation

$$(6.26) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t} = (1-m) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_f}{\partial t} + \int_0^t B_1^s(t-\tau) \cdot \mathbf{z}^s(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$\mathbf{z}^s(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{1-m} \nabla_x \pi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \rho_s \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_f}{\partial t^2}(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 > 0$, or the balance of momentum equation for the solid component in the form

$$(6.27) \quad \rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^s}{\partial t^2} = \rho_s B_2^s \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_f}{\partial t^2} + ((1-m)I - B_2^s) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{1-m} \nabla_x \pi + \rho_s \mathbf{F}\right)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 = 0$. The problem (6.21), (6.24)–(6.27) is supplemented by homogeneous initial conditions (6.22) for the displacements in the liquid and the solid components and homogeneous boundary condition (6.23) for the displacements $\mathbf{w} = m\mathbf{w}_f + \mathbf{w}^s$.

In Eqs.(6.26)–(6.27) matrices $B_1^s(t)$ and B_2^s are the same as in theorem 2.2.

Proof. Eq.(6.24) follows directly from Eq.(6.9). The continuity equation (6.25) follows from Eq.(6.5) if we take into account the equality

$$\mathbf{w} = m\mathbf{w}_f + \mathbf{w}^s.$$

The derivation of Eqs. (6.26)–(6.27) is exactly the same as in lemma 5.8. $\square$

LEMMA 6.8. *Let $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $\lambda_1 = \infty$. Then functions $\mathbf{w}^f$, $\mathbf{w}_s$, p , q and π satisfy in Ω_T the system of acoustic equations, which consist of the state equations (6.21), the balance of momentum equation for the solid component*

$$(6.28) \quad \rho_f \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^f}{\partial t^2} + \rho_s(1-m) \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_s}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{(1-m)} \nabla_x \pi + \hat{\rho} \mathbf{F},$$

the continuity equation

$$(6.29) \quad \frac{1}{p_*} p + \frac{1}{\eta_0} \pi + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}^f + (1-m) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_s = 0,$$

and the relation

$$(6.30) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^f}{\partial t} = m \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_s}{\partial t} + \int_0^t B_1^f(t-\tau) \cdot \mathbf{z}^f(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$\mathbf{z}^f(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla_x q(\mathbf{x}, t) + \rho_f \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \rho_f \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_s}{\partial t^2}(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 > 0$, or the balance of momentum equation for the liquid component in the form

$$(6.31) \quad \rho_f \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}^f}{\partial t^2} = \rho_f B_2^f \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_s}{\partial t^2} + (mI - B_2^f) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{m} \nabla_x q + \rho_f \mathbf{F}\right)$$

in the case of $\lambda_1 = 0$. The problem (6.21), (6.28)–(6.31) is supplemented by homogeneous initial conditions (6.22) for the displacements in the liquid and the solid components and homogeneous boundary condition (6.23) for the displacements $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^f + (1-m)\mathbf{w}_s$.

In Eqs.(6.30)–(6.31) matrices $B_1^f(t)$ and B_2^f are given below by formulas (6.32)–(6.33).

Proof. The proof of this lemma repeats proofs of previous lemmas and

$$(6.32) \quad B_1^f(t) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{V}^i(\mathbf{y}, t) \right\rangle_{Y_f} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i,$$

$$(6.33) \quad B_2^f = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \nabla R_i^f(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{Y_f} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where functions $\mathbf{V}^i(\mathbf{y}, t)$ solve the periodic initial-boundary value problem

$$(6.34) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \rho_f \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}^i}{\partial t} - \mu_1 \Delta \mathbf{V}^i + \nabla R^i &= 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{V}^i = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f, t > 0, \\ \mathbf{V}^i &= 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma, t > 0; \quad \rho_f \mathbf{V}^i(\mathbf{y}, 0) = \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and functions $R_i^f(\mathbf{y}, t)$ solve the periodic boundary value problem

$$(6.35) \quad \Delta_y R_i^f = 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y_f; \quad \nabla_y R_i^f \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \gamma.$$

Note that as before, the matrix $((mI - B_2^f))$ is symmetric and positively defined. $\square$
The proof of theorem 2.3 is completed by

LEMMA 6.9. *Let $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $\lambda_1 < \infty$. Then functions $\mathbf{w}$, p , q and π satisfy in Ω_T the system of acoustic equations, which consist of the continuity and the state equations (6.20) and (6.21) and the relation*

$$(6.36) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} = \int_0^t B^\pi(t - \tau) \cdot \nabla \pi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t),$$

where $B^\pi(t)$ and $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are given below by Eqs.(6.40) and (6.41).

The problem (6.20), (6.21), (6.36) is supplemented by homogeneous initial and boundary conditions (6.22) and (6.23).

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W} &= \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^3 \{ \mathbf{W}_i^\pi(\mathbf{y}, t - \tau) \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) + \mathbf{W}_i^F(\mathbf{y}, t - \tau) F_i(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \} d\tau, \\ R &= \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^3 \{ R_i^\pi(\mathbf{y}, t - \tau) \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) + R_i^F(\mathbf{y}, t - \tau) F_i(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{F} = \sum_{i=1}^3 F_i \mathbf{e}_i$ and functions $\{\mathbf{W}_i^\pi(\mathbf{y}, t), R_i^\pi(\mathbf{y}, t)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{W}_i^F(\mathbf{y}, t), R_i^F(\mathbf{y}, t)\}$ are periodic in $\mathbf{y}$ solutions of the system

$$(6.37) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_y \{ \mu_1 \chi D(y, \partial \mathbf{W}_i^j / \partial t) + \lambda_1 (1 - \chi) D(y, \mathbf{W}_i^j) - R_i^j I \} &= \\ \tilde{\rho} \partial^2 \mathbf{W}_i^j / \partial t^2, \quad \operatorname{div}_y \mathbf{W}_i^j &= 0, \quad \mathbf{y} \in Y, \quad t > 0, \quad j = \pi, F, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

which satisfy the following initial conditions

$$(6.38) \quad \mathbf{W}_i^\pi(\mathbf{y}, 0) = 0, \quad \tilde{\rho} \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_i^\pi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{y}, 0) = -\frac{1}{1 - m} \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{x} \in Y,$$

$$(6.39) \quad \mathbf{W}_i^F(\mathbf{y}, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_i^F}{\partial t}(\mathbf{y}, 0) = \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{x} \in Y.$$

Then the functions $\mathbf{W}$ and R solve the system of microscopic equations (6.7) and (6.18) and by definition $\mathbf{w} = \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_Y$. Therefore

$$(6.40) \quad B^\pi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_i^\pi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{y}, t) \rangle_Y \otimes \mathbf{e}_i,$$

$$(6.41) \quad \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_i^F}{\partial t} \right\rangle_Y (t - \tau) F_i(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau.$$

The solvability and the uniqueness of problems (6.37), (6.38) or (6.37), (6.39) follow directly from the energy identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \tilde{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_i^j}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right\rangle_Y(t) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \lambda_1 D(y, \mathbf{W}_i^j) : D(y, \mathbf{W}_i^j) \rangle_{Y_s}(t) + \\ & \int_0^t \langle \mu_1 D(y, \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_i^j}{\partial \tau}) : D(y, \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_i^j}{\partial \tau}) \rangle_{Y_s}(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \beta^j, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = \pi, F$.

Here

$$\beta^\pi = \left\langle \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}} \right\rangle_Y, \quad \beta^F = \langle \tilde{\rho} \rangle_Y.$$

As before, equations (6.37) are understood in the sense of distributions and the function $B^\pi(t)$ has no time derivative at $t = 0$. That is why we cannot represent relation (6.36) in the form of the balance of momentum equation, like (6.19) or (6.27). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ACERBI, V. CHIADO PIAT, G. DAL MASO AND D. PERCIVALE *An extension theorem from connected sets and homogenization in general periodic domains*, Nonlinear Anal., 18(1992), pp. 481–496.
- [2] R. BURRIDGE R AND J. B. KELLER *Poroelectricity equations derived from microstructure*, J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 70, N4 (1981), pp. 1140–1146.
- [3] TH. CLOPEAU, J. L. FERRIN, R. P. GILBERT AND A. MIKELIĆ *Homogenizing the acoustic properties of the seabed: Part II*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 33 (2001), pp. 821–841.
- [4] V. V. JIKOV, S. M. KOZLOV AND O. A. OLEINIK *Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [5] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA *The mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [6] D. LUKKASSEN, G. NGUETSENG AND P. WALL *Two-scale convergence*, Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math., 2, N1 (200, pp. 35–86.
- [7] A. MEIRMANOV *Nguetseng's two-scale convergence Method for filtration and seismic acoustic problems in elastic porous media*, www.arXiv.org/math.AP/0611330 v1 11 Nov 2006; Submitted to Siberian Math. Journal.
- [8] G. NGUETSENG *A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 20 (1989), pp. 608–623.
- [9] G. NGUETSENG *Asymptotic analysis for a stiff variational problem arising in mechanics*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 21 (1990), pp. 1394–1414.
- [10] E. SANCHEZ-PALENCIA *Non-Homogeneous Media and Vibration Theory*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol.129, Springer, Berlin, 1980.